Lecture: Deep Learning and Differential Programming

# 4.1 Recurrent Neural Networks

https://liris.cnrs.fr/christian.wolf/teaching



# The Deep Toolbox



What do I know about the data and the task?

Nothing Translation Sequential data, (vector space) equivariance Markov property data equivariance

# Problem: dealing with sequences





Toutes les familles heureuses se ressemblent. Chaque famille malheureuse, au contraire, l'est à sa façon.

Happy families are all alike. Every unhappy family is unhappy in its own way.



# Feed Forward Networks



Prediction: <u>feed-forward</u> computation in a DAG (directed asyclic graph).

# Recurrent neural networks



#### A shout-out ...

... to Chris Olah's excellent blog post on RNN and LSTM networks, which completely dominates lectures on this topic. The following couple of slides are based on his excellent drawings.

#### Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)

As feed-forward networks, Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) predict some output from a given input.

However, they also pass information over time, from instant (t-1) to (t):



Here, we write  $h_t$  for the output, since these networks can be stacked into multiple layers, i.e.  $h_t$  is input into a new layer.

# Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)

A more intuitive view of an RNN is to unroll it over time:



The update equations are:

$$h_t = \sigma \left( h_{t-1} \cdot W_h + x_t \cdot W_x \right)$$

 $\Rightarrow$  two weight matrices: one for the recurrent connections over time, one for the input connections.  $\sigma$  is an activation function.

## From state h to output y





# Toy example with handcrafted parameters

In a sequential problem, we surveil a farm and watch for the appearance of objects. At each instant t we oberve a vector a which can indicate the apparence of

- a wolf
- a farmer



The objective is to output an estimate of danger, i.e.

- presence of the wolf w/o the farmer, or
- presence of both, arrival of the wolf before the farmer.

# Toy example with handcrafted parameters





\*Appropriate activation functions required to normalize state values

# Toy example with handcrafted parameters



Output: danger!

### RNN training & problems

RNNs are trained with backpropagation through time (BPTT): the graph is unrolled, and the loss derived w.r.t. the parameters of all different time instants.

Standard vanilla RNNs are difficult to train and suffer from shortcomings:

- Vanishing gradients: small gradients vanish over long time ranges.
- Exploding gradients: high gradients explode over long ranges.
- Lack of long-term dependency handling: short-term updates between individual time instances dominate.

Solution: gating mechanisms (LSTM and GRU networks).

# Gating

Problem: hidden state is updated at <u>each</u> time step Solution: at each instant, for each state value, decide how much to

- input
- forget
- output
- These decisions are also learned

We start by illustrating a vanilla RNN in a new way:



#### LSTM Networks

LSTM (=Long-short term Memory) networks use gating mechanisms, which handle information flow in a fully trainable way.



#### LSTM Networks

LSTM (=Long-short term Memory) networks use gating mechanisms, which handle information flow in a fully trainable way.



$$f_t = \sigma \left( W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f \right)$$
  

$$i_t = \sigma \left( W_i \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i \right)$$
  

$$\tilde{C}_t = \tanh \left( W_C \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_C \right)$$
  

$$C_t = f_t * C_{t-1} + i_t * \tilde{C}_t$$
  

$$o_t = \sigma \left( W_o [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_o \right)$$
  

$$h_t = o_t * \tanh \left( C_t \right)$$

An LSTM has two different memory representations:

- A cell state C,
- the hidden state h.



The new cell state  $C_t$  is a linear combination of the old cell state  $C_{t-1}$  and some new updated information  $\tilde{C}_t$  (described later):



$$C_t = f_t * C_{t-1} + i_t * \tilde{C}_t$$

 $f_t$  and  $i_t$  are "gates" (trainable functions), which govern the information flow (based on the hidden state  $h_t$ ).

The forget gate controls how much of the old cell state is forgotten or passed through:



$$f_t = \sigma \left( W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f \right)$$

The input gate controls how much new information is passed over to the cell state.

The new information is predicted from the hidden state h:



$$i_t = \sigma \left( W_i \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i \right)$$
  

$$\tilde{C}_t = \tanh \left( W_C \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_C \right)$$

The output gate controls, how the information from the cell state is translated into the hidden state h:



$$o_t = \sigma \left( W_o \left[ h_{t-1}, x_t \right] + b_o \right) h_t = o_t * \tanh \left( C_t \right)$$

#### LSTM Networks

The full model, again:



$$f_t = \sigma \left( W_f \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f \right)$$
  

$$i_t = \sigma \left( W_i \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i \right)$$
  

$$\tilde{C}_t = \tanh \left( W_C \cdot [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_C \right)$$
  

$$C_t = f_t * C_{t-1} + i_t * \tilde{C}_t$$
  

$$o_t = \sigma \left( W_o [h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_o \right)$$
  

$$h_t = o_t * \tanh \left( C_t \right)$$

# Example applictions: Motion



[Figure: Scott Eaton]

#### Example application: activity recognition



#### Example application: activity recognition



# Entering PINs on smartphones is painful



© 2005 Scott Adams, Inc. / Dist. by UFS, Inc.



Automatically authenticate smartphone users given their behavior (=interaction style). Shut off phone when theft is detected.

# Project "Abacus » (Google)

- 1500 volunteers, 1500 Nexus 5 smartphones
- Several months of natural daily usage, 27.6 TB of data
- Multiple sensors: camera, touchscreen, GPS, bluetooth, wifi, cell antenna, inertial, magnetometer
- <u>This work</u>: inertial sensors only, recorded at 200Hz





Work of Natalia Neverova Phd student at LIRIS



With Graham W. Taylor, University of Guelph, Canada



[Neverova, Wolf, Lacey, Fridmann, Chandra, Barbello, Taylor, IEEE Access 2016]

### Experimental results

Feature extraction

#### Biometric framework (GMM)

8.82

9.37

Model	Accuracy,	# parameters	Model	EER, %	HTER, %
ST Convnet LT Convnet Conv-RNN Conv-CWRNN Conv-LSTM Conv-DCWRNN	37.13         56.46         64.57         68.83         68.92 <b>69.41</b>	6 102 137 6 102 137 1 960 295 1 964 254 1 965 403 <b>1 964 254</b>	Raw features ST Convnet LT Convnet Conv-RNN Conv-CWRNN Conv-LSTM Conv-DCWRNN	$\begin{array}{c} 36.21 \\ 32.44 \\ 28.15 \\ 22.32 \\ 21.52 \\ 21.13 \\ 20.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 42.17\\ 34.89\\ 29.01\\ 22.49\\ 21.92\\ 21.41\\ 20.52\end{array}$
			Conv-DCWRNN, zt-norm	18.17	19.29
			Conv-DCWRNN (per device)	15.84	16.13

Conv-DCWRNN (per session)

[Neverova, Wolf, Lacey, Fridmann, Chandra, Barbello, Taylor, IEEE Access 2016]

Appendix: Other RNN Variants

# Variant: GRU (Gated Recurrent Unit)

GRUs are simpler: they merge cell state and h, and merge gates:



#### What's the Frequency Kenneth?

All presented variants model a single time frame (update from one time step to the next).

In signal processing, we like to decompose a signal into frequency components.

Can we create RNNs with multiple frequency bands?

Clock Work RNNs do this.

J. Koutnik, K. Greff, F. Gomez, and J. Schmidhuber, ICML 2014.

#### Vanilla RNN vs. Clockwork RNN



# **CWRNN** problems

CWRNNs suffer from a couple of problems

- They are not shift-invariant (output depends on time t).
- Lower frequency weights tend to overfit since they are updated slower.

Dense CWRNNs solve this problem.

[Neverova, Wolf, Lacey, Fridmann, Chandra, Barbello, Taylor, *2016*]

#### Vanilla RNN vs. Clockwork RNN





[Neverova, Wolf, Lacey, Fridmann, Chandra, Barbello, Taylor, *2016*]

# On shift-invariance



[Neverova, Wolf, Lacey, Fridmann, Chandra, Barbello, Taylor, *2016*]